

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: David John Edkins  
Application No.: 10/563,406  
Filing Date: 01/04/2006  
Title: Rainscreen apparatus and method  
Art Group: 3635  
Examiner: NGUYEN, CHI Q

***Filed electronically via EFS Web***

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**Remarks to Office Action**

The Applicant respectfully response to the 04/01/2011 non-final office action in the above reference case (hereinafter "Office Action") as follows:

**Drawings**

The Office Action at Page 2-3 objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) in that the drawings do not show the rainscreen vent as claimed in Claim 28. In response the applicant respectfully cancels claim 28 and respectfully submits that the objections to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) are now rendered moot.

**Specification**

The Office Action at Page 3 objected to the specification under 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o) as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claim limitation of claim 28. In response the applicant respectfully cancels claim 28 and respectfully submits that the objections to the specification under 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o) are now rendered moot.

**Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112**